



APPENDIX 2:

DOT&PF SEO Concurrence Documentation



Nina Keller Horne

From: Heidemann, Marie E (DOT) <marie.heidemann@alaska.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 1:12 PM
To: Renee Whitesell; snoble
Subject: [EXT] FW: SEO Concurrence Point #1 - Juneau Douglas North Channel Crossing (SFHWY00299)

WARNING: External Sender - use caution when clicking links and opening attachments.

We talked about this at our meeting last Monday – here is the closed loop that we do have the first concurrence point wrapped up, and documentation filed. 😊

From: Heidemann, Marie E (DOT)
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:41 AM
To: Haynes, Emily R (DOT) <emily.haynes@alaska.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Jill A (DOT) <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>; Renee Whitesell <rwhitesell@dowl.com>
Subject: RE: SEO Concurrence Point #1 - Juneau Douglas North Channel Crossing (SFHWY00299)

Emily,

Thank you for this response. I have filed this email with project files and ask that DOWL do the same.

Marie

From: Haynes, Emily R (DOT) <emily.haynes@alaska.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:33 AM
To: Heidemann, Marie E (DOT) <marie.heidemann@alaska.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Jill A (DOT) <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>; Renee Whitesell <rwhitesell@dowl.com>
Subject: SEO Concurrence Point #1 - Juneau Douglas North Channel Crossing (SFHWY00299)

Hi Marie,

Thank you for providing the Public and Agency Involvement Plan (PAIP) and Draft PEL Questionnaire to outline the purpose and proposed plan for the Juneau Douglas North Crossing PEL Study (SFHWY00299) and I appreciate your patience in me getting this back to you. This email serves as SEO concurrence for PEL Coordination Point #1 (Purpose of the PEL) on the scope and purpose of PEL, proposed methodology, and stakeholder engagement. Feedback is provided below.

Scope and Purpose of PEL

Project Team Approach

The scope of the PEL Study is to develop and refine a purpose and need (P&N), conduct stakeholder outreach, develop alternatives, screen alternatives collaboratively, identify environmental resources, gain consensus on the process and methods, and document for future decision making. The primary purpose of this PEL is to expedite future NEPA and other environmental review processes.

SEO Feedback

The scope of the PEL Study is reasonable and appropriate to evaluate additional access(es) to Douglas Island. The PEL Study may identify a transportation issue and evaluation potential solutions and, if completed successfully, could be adopted or used in future NEPA which may streamline and expedite the process.

Proposed Methodology

Project Team Approach

The PEL Study will be conducted in accordance with 23 USC 168 and 23 CFR 450.

Planning products anticipated to be used in future NEPA include:

- Purpose and Need statement
- Alternatives considered
- Alternatives eliminated and reasons why
- Alternatives recommended
- Summary of social and environmental resources in the project area and potential effects on those resources
- Potential mitigation ideas to offset impacts

Documents to be developed include multiple environmental memos outlining the setting within the study area which will be carried forward into alternatives development and screening. Cost estimates will also be prepared for the range of alternatives and a financial strategy. Alternatives screening criteria will be established later in the PEL Study process and in coordination with stakeholders. Recommended alternative(s) will be identified within the PEL Study.

SEO Feedback

The P&N will be essential to future steps in the PEL Study and those under NEPA. Focusing on a strong P&N that can be carried forward may assist with programming a future transportation project and streamline NEPA, including alternatives analysis.

As the project team advances into alternatives development, we recommend a broad approach in potential alternatives to allow for future NEPA to adopt that analysis. Researched cost estimates will be valuable to future programming and to support the pursuit of alternative funding opportunities. The comprehensive environmental baseline research will assist with appropriate screening and provide a great foundation for future NEPA work. Discussing potential mitigation with the public and resource agencies will also expedite those consultations in future NEPA. Documenting the process, including reasons for determining alternatives are unreasonable, will be essential in future NEPA.

We recommend the project team consider NEPA-required alternatives analyses that may be required in the future when screening alternatives in the PEL Study. If screened too far, there is a risk that alternatives must be brought back during NEPA for consideration (e.g., Section 4(f), Clean Water Act, Section 6(f)).

Stakeholder Engagement

Project Team Approach

The Public and Agency Involvement Plan (PAIP) identifies public, private stakeholders, local agencies, resource agencies, and tribal entities to be included throughout the initiation, development, and completion of the PEL Study. Stakeholders will be able to raise issues and review and comment on all documentation. Diverse methods of outreach are proposed and all decision points will be paired with committee and public meetings.

SEO Feedback

The PAIP outlines a robust strategy to ensure those that may be impacted by a project are included in the decision making process which will strengthen any decisions that result from the PEL Study. As with the feedback on proposed methodology, we recommend thorough documentation of outreach efforts, comments raised, and resolutions. In future NEPA, it will be beneficial to the team to reference previous discussions to

determine if concerns and resolutions remain accurate. Additionally, if the scope of comments are outside of what the PEL Study is able to address, documenting further outreach needs and considerations can provide a future project team with a guide on next engagement needs and steps.

Once in NEPA, all stakeholders will have another opportunity to comment on the appropriateness and accuracy of the PEL Study for a transportation project.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and please continue to keep us updated on project developments. During future concurrence points, we will provide feedback on alternatives development, proposed screening methodology, screening results, and the PEL Study. While these concurrence points are required, we appreciate the ongoing coordination through regular meetings and informal discussions. We will also plan for at least one representative at agency and public meetings.

Thank you,

Emily

Emily Haynes

NEPA Program Manager

Statewide Environmental Office

Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities

907.465.6961 | [Statewide Environmental Office Webpage](#)

“Keep Alaska Moving through service and infrastructure.”

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by DOT&PF pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated November 3, 2017 and executed by FHWA and DOT&PF.

From: Heidemann, Marie E (DOT) <marie.heidemann@alaska.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, March 2, 2022 10:10 AM

To: Haynes, Emily R (DOT) <emily.haynes@alaska.gov>

Cc: Taylor, Jill A (DOT) <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>; Renee Whitesell <rwhitesell@dowl.com>

Subject: RE: Concurrence Point #1 - Juneau Douglas North Channel Crossing (SFHWY00299)

Emily,

Please see the attached draft PEL Questionnaire and Public and Agency Involvement Plan (PAIP) for your review of SEO concurrence point #1.

Renee – I pulled the most recent from Teams. If you have anything more recent, please reply all and share. Thanks much!

Let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thank you,

Marie Heidemann

Juneau Field Office Planning Chief
Statewide Program Development and Planning
Alaska DOT&PF
907-465-4477

From: Haynes, Emily R (DOT) <emily.haynes@alaska.gov>
Sent: Thursday, December 23, 2021 10:51 AM
To: Heidemann, Marie E (DOT) <marie.heidemann@alaska.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Jill A (DOT) <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>
Subject: Concurrence Point #1 - Juneau Douglas North Channel Crossing (SFHWY00299)

Hi Marie,

Thank you for reaching out about the SEO concurrence point #1 – Initiation of a PEL. The SEO will review the scope and purpose of the PEL Study, the planned PEL development process, and the public and stakeholder engagement plan. The reason for this concurrence is for SEO to document there is agreement that a PEL is the appropriate tool and the proposed approach is suitable for the scope. The PEL process will likely change as you proceed so the information is fairly general.

This information can be documented in the draft PEL Questionnaire and Public and Agency Involvement Plan (PAIP) and sent to SEO. We have already completed our review of the first drafts. If there are any questions on the feedback we've provided, we are certainly available to discuss them prior to the concurrence point.

Thank you!
Emily

Emily Haynes
NEPA Program Manager

Alaska Department of Transportation & Public Facilities
Statewide Environmental Office | Design & Engineering Services
Physical: 3132 Channel Drive | Juneau, AK
Mail: PO Box 112500 | Juneau, AK 99811-2500
907.465.6961 | emily.haynes@alaska.gov

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by DOT&PF pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated November 3, 2017 and executed by FHWA and DOT&PF.

Nina Keller Horne

From: Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2022 2:27 PM
To: Nina Keller Horne
Cc: christina.mounce
Subject: RE: [EXT] RE: JDNC PEL Study | SEO Concurrence Point #2 - Purpose and Need
Attachments: Douglas North Crossing PEL Questionnaire V2 010622.docx

Hello Nina,

Thank you for the Purpose and Need Statement. The revised "Additional Goals" section sufficiently supports the alternative objectives considerations.

This email serves as SEO concurrence for PEL Coordination Point #2 (Purpose and Need). The Purpose and Need was drafted utilizing appropriate planning assumptions and analytical methods, as outlined in the April 2022 PEL Questionnaire (attached). The Purpose and Need was developed in coordination with the public and agencies during the following:

- 1) a Technical Advisory Committee meeting on April 25, 2022,
- 2) a Stakeholder Advisory Committee meeting on April 27, 2022,
- 3) a Public Open House on May 11, 2022,
- 4) two pop-up listening sessions (open houses) at Discover Eaglecrest Day and Safeway on September 17, 2022, and
- 5) via written comments.

The current revision will be presented at the Technical Advisory and Stakeholder Advisory Committee meetings in Juneau on November 17, 2022 for further feedback and, if no changes are warranted, may inform future NEPA documentation.

If the Purpose and Need is modified prior to the completion of the PEL Study, please forward to SEO for review.

Thank you,

Doug

Douglas Kolwaite

*Statewide Environmental Program Manager
Alaska Department of Transportation & Public Facilities
Statewide Design & Engineering Services
Phone: (907) 465-8413
Email: Douglas.Kolwaite@alaska.gov*

From: Nina Keller Horne <nkellerhorne@dowl.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2022 12:18 PM
To: Mounce, Christina L A (DOT) <christina.mounce@alaska.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Jill A (DOT) <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>; Heidemann, Marie E (DOT) <marie.heidemann@alaska.gov>; Gentemann, Christy D (DOT) <christy.gentemann@alaska.gov>; Renee Whitesell <rwhitesell@dowl.com>; snoble <snoble@dowl.com>
Subject: RE: [EXT] RE: JDNC PEL Study | SEO Concurrence Point #2 - Purpose and Need

Good morning Christina,

Thanks for taking the time to discuss your comments.
The P&N is updated based on your comments and our conversation yesterday.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions or concerns.

Thank you,
Nina

Nina Keller
Transportation and Environmental Planner

DOWL

(907) 562-2000 | office
(907) 865-1246 | direct

dowl.com

From: Mounce, Christina L A (DOT) <christina.mounce@alaska.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2022 1:08 PM
To: Nina Keller Horne <nkellerhorne@dowl.com>
Cc: jill.taylor <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>; Heidemann, Marie E (DOT) <marie.heidemann@alaska.gov>; Gentemann, Christy D (DOT) <christy.gentemann@alaska.gov>; Renee Whitesell <rwhitesell@dowl.com>; Steven Noble <snoble@dowl.com>
Subject: [EXT] RE: JDNC PEL Study | SEO Concurrence Point #2 - Purpose and Need

WARNING: External Sender - use caution when clicking links and opening attachments.

Hello Nina,

Please see the attached comments from SEO. Please let me know if you would like to discuss.

Thank you,
Christina

Christina Mounce
NEPA Program Manager
DOT&PF, Statewide Environmental Office
3132 Channel Dr.
P.O. Box 112500
Juneau, Alaska USA 99811-2500
Phone (907) 465-8892



The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by DOT&PF pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated November 3, 2017 and executed by FHWA and DOT&PF.

From: Nina Keller Horne <nkellerhorne@dowl.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 9, 2022 3:12 PM
To: Mounce, Christina L A (DOT) <christina.mounce@alaska.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Jill A (DOT) <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>; Heidemann, Marie

E (DOT) <marie.heidemann@alaska.gov>; Gentemann, Christy D (DOT) <christy.gentemann@alaska.gov>; Renee Whitesell <rwhitesell@dowl.com>; snoble <snoble@dowl.com>

Subject: JDNC PEL Study | SEO Concurrence Point #2 - Purpose and Need

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the State of Alaska mail system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

We made a small edit in the purpose and need statement after a project team meeting this morning. Please disregard yesterday's email and consider this one DOWL's request for SEO's concurrence on the purpose and need statement for the Juneau Douglas North Crossing PEL Study (SFHWY00299).

The purpose and need statement was drafted following a collaborative process balancing multiple viewpoints of stakeholders, agencies, and the public, and working within regulatory requirements. We received contributions and feedback during

- 1) a Technical Advisory Committee meeting on April 25, 2022,
- 2) a Stakeholder Advisory Committee meeting on April 27, 2022,
- 3) a Public Open House on May 11, 2022,
- 4) two pop-up listening sessions (open houses) at Discover Eaglecrest Day and Safeway on September 17, 2022, and
- 5) via written comments.

This process helped identify the study's emerging themes, the problems to be solved, and to gather general input from the community. The purpose and need statement compiles and organizes these emerging themes and problems to be solved into a statement of what the study is intended to do and why the study of a north crossing is needed.

Please see attached the updated purpose and need statement.

Feel free to contact me if you have any questions or need additional information.

Thank you,
Nina

Nina Keller
Transportation and Environmental Planner

DOWL

(907) 562-2000 | office
(907) 865-1246 | direct

dowl.com

Nina Keller Horne

From: Mounce, Christina L A (DOT) <christina.mounce@alaska.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2023 3:27 PM
To: Nina Keller Horne
Cc: jill.taylor; Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT); Heidemann, Marie E (DOT); Gentemann, Christy D (DOT); Storey, Benjamin M (DOT)
Subject: [EXT] JDNC (SFHWY00299) SEO PEL Coordination Point #3 - Alternatives Development and Screening Methodology

WARNING: External Sender - use caution when clicking links and opening attachments.

Hello Nina,

Thank you for outlining the approach for the next phase in the JDNC PELs (SFHWY00299). This email serves as SEO concurrence for PEL Coordination Point #3 (Alternatives Development and Screening Methodology).

Planned Alternatives Development Process

Project Team Approach

Alternatives are being developed based on the Purpose and Need (P&N) statement and by using relevant information from baseline studies, public and agency input, and local and regional land use and transportation plans.

SEO Feedback

This process involves opportunities for public and agency feedback and does not limit the number of alternatives to be considered. Thoroughly exploring all alternatives with the agencies and public is a core objective of this PEL.

Planned Alternatives Evaluation Process and Proposed Evaluation Criteria

Project Team Approach

There will be two levels of alternatives evaluation as part of the PEL. The Level 1 screening will first use a pass/fail criteria to evaluate how well each alternative meets the P&N. Alternatives that meet the P&N will advance to the next step of the Level 1 screening. The next step of the Level 1 screening will evaluate the alternatives based on how well each alternative meets the additional goals, and against criteria from the Natural Environment, Social, Housing, Economic, Safety, and Public Support.

The Level 2 screening will estimate constraints, identify resources, and evaluate costs for each alternative. The alternatives will be scored based on quantitative evaluations when possible.

SEO Feedback

This is an organized plan that allows for various quantitative and qualitative criteria to be used for alternatives evaluation. The justification and coordination for not carrying forward alternatives may streamline future NEPA.

Please keep us updated on any developments.

Thank you,
Christina Mounce

Christina Mounce
NEPA Program Manager
DOT&PF, Statewide Environmental Office
3132 Channel Dr.
P.O. Box 112500
Juneau, Alaska USA 99811-2500
Phone (907) 465-8892



The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by DOT&PF pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated November 3, 2017 and executed by FHWA and DOT&PF.

From: Nina Keller Horne <nkellerhorne@dowl.com>

Sent: Friday, February 17, 2023 11:33 AM

To: Mounce, Christina L A (DOT) <christina.mounce@alaska.gov>

Cc: Taylor, Jill A (DOT) <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>; Heidemann, Marie E (DOT) <marie.heidemann@alaska.gov>; Gentemann, Christy D (DOT) <christy.gentemann@alaska.gov>

Subject: JDNC | SEO Concurrence Point # 3 Alternatives Development and Screening Methodology

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the State of Alaska mail system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning Christina,

Please see attached the Recommended Alternative Selection Criteria Memo. We updated the memo based on all the comments we have received. During our extended comment period we received 1012 responses to our online survey and met with multiple agencies in response to SEALT's comments. We went through all the comments and updated our screening criteria to include the agencies' suggestions.

I am requesting SEO's concurrence on the alternatives development and screening process for the Juneau Douglas North Crossing PEL Study. The purpose of this concurrence point is to detail the process by which we develop a range of alternatives, evaluate them, and select a single or several recommended alternatives in accordance with applicable statutes, regulations, and guidance. We are requesting your concurrence on our proposed process, to make sure it meets the threshold of what would be acceptable in a future NEPA process.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or need additional information.

Thanks,
Nina

Nina Keller
Transportation and Environmental Planner

DOWL

(907) 780-3533 | office
(907) 865-1246 | direct

dowl.com

From: [Steven Noble](#)
To: [Renee Whitesell](#); [Theresa Dutchuk](#); [Morgan McCammon](#)
Subject: Fw: [EXT] FW: JDNC Level 2 screening
Date: Wednesday, February 19, 2025 3:40:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[JDNC_L2_Screening_Summary_Memo_2.14.25.pdf](#)
[JDNC_L2_Screening_Summary_Memo_2.13.25.docx](#)
[JDNC_L2_Screening_Criteria_Comments_&Responses.xlsx](#)

Steven Noble, PE
Senior Project Manager

DOWL

(907) 562-2000 | office
(907) 865-1236 | direct
(907) 830-0551 | cell

dowl.com

From: Gentemann, Christy D (DOT) <christy.gentemann@alaska.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 19, 2025 3:35:07 PM
To: Steven Noble <snoble@dowl.com>
Cc: Lockwood, Gregory K (DOT) <greg.lockwood@alaska.gov>; Theresa Dutchuk <tdutchuk@dowl.com>
Subject: FW: [EXT] FW: JDNC Level 2 screening

Hi Steve,

SEO has concurred with the Level 2 Screening.

Christy

From: Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 19, 2025 3:33 PM
To: Gentemann, Christy D (DOT) <christy.gentemann@alaska.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Jill A (DOT) <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Keller, Nina (DOT) <nina.keller@alaska.gov>; Lockwood, Gregory K (DOT) <greg.lockwood@alaska.gov>
Subject: Re: [EXT] FW: JDNC Level 2 screening

Hi Christy,

The Statewide Environmental Office concurs with the level 2 screening of alternatives for the Juneau Douglas North Crossing Planning and Environmental Linkages Study. As a reduced range of alternatives was not identified from the criteria and information provided in the level 2 screening, a more detailed analysis of alternatives will be required during the NEPA process.

Thanks,

Doug

Douglas Kolwaite

Statewide Environmental Program Manager

Alaska Department of Transportation & Public Facilities

Statewide Design & Engineering Services

Phone: (907) 465-8413

Email: Douglas.Kolwaite@alaska.gov

From: Gentemann, Christy D (DOT) <christy.gentemann@alaska.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2025 3:07 PM
To: Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Jill A (DOT) <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Keller, Nina (DOT) <nina.keller@alaska.gov>; Lockwood, Gregory K (DOT) <greg.lockwood@alaska.gov>
Subject: FW: [EXT] FW: JDNC Level 2 screening

Hi Doug,

Please see our responses to your comments below. Attached is a document that has responses to the Region and CBJ's comments.

Also attached is the Revised memo with track changes (dated 2/13 and the final version that is dated 2/14) which includes the appendices.

With this we are requesting SEO's concurrence on the Level 2 Screening.

Thank you,

Christy

From: Kolwaite, Douglas S (DOT) <douglas.kolwaite@alaska.gov>
Sent: Friday, February 14, 2025 8:23 AM
To: Gentemann, Christy D (DOT) <christy.gentemann@alaska.gov>; Lockwood, Gregory K (DOT) <greg.lockwood@alaska.gov>
Cc: Taylor, Jill A (DOT) <jill.taylor@alaska.gov>; Keller, Nina (DOT) <nina.keller@alaska.gov>
Subject: JDNC Level 2 screening

Hi Christy,

Thanks for the opportunity to review the Level 2 Screening materials.

We have a couple of comments:

1. Public support in impact table
 1. As discussed previously, the data regarding public support needs to be consistent. Update the table and change the 30.53% to the actual number.

We are concerned that changing the percentages to numbers will be more confusing than using the percentages, since the public was able to express support for more than one alternative (including the no build) in the survey. We revised as requested in the memo on page 25.

Public Support	Level of public support	Survey Respondents	30.53%	321	494	494	361	133	73

To better compare the survey results, we added a row at the bottom of the impact table clarifying the survey results – see below.

Rtg	Commercial property acquisition	Acres and number of parcels	0	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	1.8 (1)	1.0 (5)
Public Support	Level of public support	Survey Response for Preference of Build Options Only*	N/A	321	494	361	133	73	
	Level of public support	Survey Respondents - Build vs. No-build**	352	801					

*At the time of the survey, there was only 1 alternative for Sunny Point, so the 494 was applied to both Sunny Point Alternatives.

**This row is not scored and is shown for clarifying purposes only.

2. Why is the number for the two Sunny Point alternatives the same?

Because at the time of the survey we did not have more than 1 Sunny Point Alternative. Sunny Point West was added later in the process.

2. ROW impacts please add to the discussion the number of partial parcels and full parcels.

We are concerned about being too specific regarding the ROW acquisition needs for this project. There remains a lot of flexibility in the alignments that could add or diminish the ROW impacts. We also do not want the public to think that those decisions have been made – e.g. how would you feel if a document shows full acquisition of your property without having had the project team talk to you in advance? We have been chided in the past by Greg Weinert for being presumptive on easements vs full takes – so we are cautious at this stage to keep it vague and lump the full takes and the easements together as “XX number of full or partial acquisitions.”

3. Sunny Point East: Are the acreage of wetlands and the acreage of wildlife habitat impacted correct?

Yes

4. Conclusion: Consider rewording the highlighted statement below.

We were not sure of the concern regarding this language so we took a guess at how restating might be more agreeable. We proposed to change the shaded part of the sentence to say:

“The Level 2 Screening did not identify substantial impacts or constraints that were sufficiently outlying from the other alternatives to justify dismissal of any of the alternatives, . . .”

The Level 2 Screening did not identify substantial impacts or constraints that would require the dismissal of any of the alternatives, except for the Mendenhall Peninsula Alternative which has been eliminated as it is not financially feasible. The remaining five build alternatives are recommended for a potential future NEPA analysis, in addition to the no build alternative.

Douglas Kolwaite

Statewide Environmental Program Manager

Alaska Department of Transportation & Public Facilities

Statewide Design & Engineering Services

Phone: [\(907\) 465-8413](tel:9074658413)

Email: Douglas.Kolwaite@alaska.gov